## The Role of Gypsum and KNO<sub>3</sub> of Oder to Induce Flowering in Citrus Plants By

Nengah Suatia, N.P.A Sulistiawati, N.K.A. Astiari

#### ISSN 2319-3077 Online/Electronic ISSN 0970-4973 Print

Index Copernicus International Value IC Value of Journal 82.43 Poland, Europe (2016) Journal Impact Factor: 4.275 Global Impact factor of Journal: 0.876 Scientific Journals Impact Factor: 3.285 InfoBase Impact Factor: 3.66

J. Biol. Chem. Research Volume 37 (2), Part A, 2020 Pages No. 14-20

# Journal of Biological and Chemical Research

An International Peer Reviewed / Referred Journal of Life Sciences and Chemistry

## Indexed, Abstracted and Cited in various International and National Scientific Databases

Published by Society for Advancement of Sciences®

J. Biol. Chem. Research. Vol. 37, No. 2, Part A: 14-20, 2020

(An International Peer Reviewed / Refereed Journal of Life Sciences and Chemistry) Ms 37/02/2089/2020 All rights reserved <u>ISSN 2319-3077 (Online/Electronic)</u> ISSN 0970-4973 (Print)



N.P.A. Sulistiawati http:// <u>www.sasjournals.com</u> http:// <u>www.jbcr.co.in</u> jbiolchemres@gmail.com

Received: 02/02/2020

Revised: 14/03/2020

RESEARCH PAPER Accepted: 15/03/2020

### The Role of Gypsum and KNO<sub>3</sub> of Oder to Induce Flowering in Citrus Plants Nengah Suatia, N.P.A Sulistiawati, N.K.A. Astiari Agrotechnology Program Study, Faculty of Agriculture, Warmadewa University, Bali-Indonesia

#### ABSTRACT

Keprok citrus plant (Citrus reticula. L) is a fruit plant that can grow in tropical and sub-tropical tangerines that are very popular in the world. Citrus plants have the nature of seasonal flowering so that it has an impact on the fruit production fluctuation. Efforts to stimulate flowering until the occurrence of fruit can be done by administering growth regulators (ZPT) and administering different doses of gypsum. This study used a randomized block design consisting of 2 factors arranged factorially and repeated three times. The first factor is gypsum dose and the second factor is the type of growth regulator. The results showed that the treatment of 700 grams of gypsum dose per tree showed the fastest flowering time of 215,23 HSP significantly different from the treatment of 350 grams of gypsum per tree namely: 266,17 (HSP) and treatment without gypsum takes the longest time to start flowering, that is: 293,17 (HSP). the KNO3 treatment 2 ml per l liter water per tree showed the fastest flowering time per tree at 218,00 (HSP), significantly different from the KNO<sub>3</sub> treatment 1.5 ml per l liter water per tree with a value 226,33 (HSP), different from the KNO<sub>3</sub> treatment 1 ml per l liter water per tree with a value 262,78 (HSP), and in the treatment without KNO<sub>3</sub> requires the longest time, namely: 295,52 (HSP). The highest number of flowers formed per tree was obtained at a combination of 700 grams of gypsum treatment per tree and the concentration of  $KNO_3$  2 ml per 1 liter of water per tree with a value of 205.00 floret/tree and significantly different from the number of flowers formed per tree obtained at the combination treatment without gypsum and without KNO<sub>3</sub> (0 ml per 1 liter of water) with a value of only 112.33 buds/tree so that seeing the condition dose gypsum 700 g in combination with a concentration of 6 ml per 1 liter of wateris able to produce a higher number of flowers formed/trees 82.80% compared to the number of flowers formed per tree achieved by control.

Key word: Flower, Gypsum, fall, KNO3 and Dan bloom.

#### INTRODUCTION

Keprok orange is the most popular fruit commodity in the world, after grapes. Based on the average production, there are five centers of orange production in Indonesia, Bali is one of them. Regencies that are the centers of citrus production in Bali include Jembrana, Tabanan, Badung, Gianyar, Klungkung, Karangasem, Buleleng, and Bangli Regencies. Keprok orange is the most popular fruit commodity in the world, after grapes. Based on the average production, there are five centers of orange production in Indonesia, Bali is one of them. Regencies that are the centers of citrus production in Bali include Jembrana, Tabanan, Badung, Gianyar, Klungkung, Karangasem, Buleleng, and Bangli Regencies. Kintamani tangerine plants in the Province of Bali are in the region of Bangli Regency (Suryana *et al.* 2005). Bangli Regency is the region with the highest citrus production in Propins Bali, which is 119,030 tons per year. The national citrus production in 2013 amounted to 1,548,401 which could not meet the needs of oranges in Indonesia, this was evidenced by the volume of citrus fruit imports which reached 2,594,825 tons in December 2013 (Statistics Indonesia 2014).

J. Biol. Chem. Research

The import volume can be said to be still high, therefore Indonesia needs to increase the production of fresh oranges. Meeting the needs of conjoined oranges is still difficult, this is caused by uneven (seasonal) harvests. September to October is the development of the vegetative phase of citrus (Stuckens *et al.*, 2011).

Sulistiawati, 2019 from the results of research conducted, naturally the Siamese orange flowering between November to December. Eight to nine months after flowering oranges can already bear fruit and can be harvested, between July to August which is the peak harvest of Siamese oranges. As per the natural phenology of Siamese from December to July, there is a scarcity of citrus fruit production in Bali.

Flowering on citrus plants generally often experience problems, because it requires special conditions to be able to flower and bear fruit. Flowering in fruit trees is a very complex process that includes many stages of development (Reddy et al., 2014). The flowering process experienced by the Siam orange is an interaction, the influence of two major factors, namely external and internal factors. Naturally Siam fruit blooms between November to December. Eight to nine months after flowering, oranges can be harvested, between July and August which is the peak harvest of Siamese oranges. According to the natural phenology of Siamese in September to June, there is a shortage of production (Purnamasari, 2010).

The addition of growth regulators (PGR) is often done to optimize vegetative growth and reproductive growth. Gibberellins, Paclobutrazol and KNO<sub>3</sub> are types of plant hormones that can accelerate the growth and flowering of Jatropha plants Agus *et al.*, 2013).

Flowering induction aims to regulate the simultaneous process of flowering and fruiting of Jatropha plants, so that in every stretch of Jatropha planting there are planting blocks that are ready for use with uniform fruit maturity and do not need to harvest by selecting those that are economically less profitable and resulting in expensive harvest costs. (Arshaf *et al.*, 2013).

Gypsum is one of the non-metallic minerals, gypsum consists of calcium sulphate dihydrate (CaSO4.2H2O). An example of a mineral that is evaporated with calcium levels that dominate the minerals. The most common gypsum found is a type of calcium sulfate hydrate with the chemical formula CaSO4.2H2O. This is the guideline for reducing the yellow sap in the mangosteen fruit caused by rupture of the yellow gum channel due to weak cell wall structure (Rai *et al.*, 2006).

Based on the phenomenon of the harvest season of tangerines that are not very bad throughout the year, because it causes a surge in prices when the fruit is few and falls in price when the fruit is large.

One of the problems can be overcome by controlling the pattern of production of tangerines. Flowering arrangements out of season can extend or shorten the fruiting period so that there is no significant production fluctuation. Flowering induction can be done by treating water stress, controlling nutrition (Astiari, et al) lighting time, and low temperature, Purnomo (2013), induction of flowering plants can be done by reducing endogenous gibberellins levels in sugar palm snakefruit plants (Rai *et al.*, 2010). According to (Nishikawa *et al.*, 2012) one of the stimulants such as gibberellic acid prevents the induction of flowering in oranges during the flowering period

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Research Place and Time

The study was conducted in Banjar Seming, Kerta Village, Payangan District, Gianyar Regency, Bali, which is a center for citrus production, starting in 2018. The research was conducted in the field and the Agricultural Product Technology laboratory of the Udayana University Faculty of Agriculture and the Faculty of Agriculture, Warmadewa University.

#### **Research design**

Sub-study II in this study used a Randomized Block Design with a simple allocation using a dose of Gypsum (G) with 3 levels of treatment. AndKNO3, (K) 4 standard of care.

 $G_0 = 0 \text{ gram/tree} K_0 = 0 \text{ ml/1 liter of water/tree}$ 

 $G_1 = 350 \text{ gram/treeK}_1 = 1 \text{ ml/1 liter uf water/tree}$ 

 $G_2 = 700 \text{ gram/treeK}_2 = 1.5 \text{ ml}/1 \text{ liter of water/tree}$ 

 $K_3 = 2 \text{ ml} / 1 \text{ litter of water/tree}$ 

Variables Observed

Variables observed in this study include:

1. Number of flowers blooming per tree,

2. Time of flowering, length of flowering period, number of young shoots per tree and number of deciduous flowers per tree. Cumulatively calculated by counti.

3. The number of flowers that appear on the sample plant branches per tree. Calculated starting after the application of the treatment to stimulate flowering until the first flower appears (HSP).

4. Number of flowers formed per tree on Siam citrus plants.

5. Relative water content of leaves.

6. Chlorophyll content of leaves

J. Biol. Chem. Research

#### Data Analysis

Observation data were tabulated, then analyzed statistically using analysis of variance in accordance with the design used. First the diversity test is carried out in order to obtain diversity. If the treatment has a significant effect, then it is further analyzed to look for a single effect of each factor by a LSD test of 5% and 1%.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Statistical analysis was significant that the interaction between gypsum and KNO<sub>3</sub> (GxK) had no significant effect ( $P \ge 0.05$ ) on most of the observed variables, except for the variable number of blooms per tree, the number of flowers formed per tree.

Table 1. Significance of the effects of gypsum (G) and KNO <sub>3</sub> (K) and interaksin (GxK) treatment of
the variables observed in the formation of tangerines.

	Io Variable		Treatment	
No			KNO3	Interaction
		(G)	(K)	(G x K)
1	time starts to flower	**	**	ns
2	Length of flowering / tree period (days)	**	*	ns
3	Number of young shoots formed (strands)	**	**	ns
4	Number of flowers formed / tree (florets)	**	**	ns
5	Number of flowers in bloom / tree(florets)	ns	**	*
6	Number of deciduous flowers/ trees (florets)	ns	ns	ns
7	Relative leaf rate (%	**	*	ns
8	Leaf chlorophyll content (SPAD)	**	**	ns

Keterangan: \* = have a real impact (P<0,05)

- \*\* = very real effect (P<0,01)
- ns = no real effect ( $P \ge 0.05$
- G = Dosis gypsum

$$K = KNO_3$$

#### **Total Flowering Blooms**

In the largest number of blooming flowers per tree obtained in combination gypsum dosage treatment level and KNO3 concentration level with a value of 295.00 florets / tree and significantly different from without KNO3 (0 ml / lt per tree) the lowest obtained at a combination of gypsum dose of 0 grams / tree and a concentration of 1.5 ml / 1 liter of water per tree KNO3 with a value of only 4.60 buds / tree. So seeing the condition is able to produce a higher number of blossom / tree flowers 37.85%. When compared to the control (Table 3.2)

Table 2. Interaction between gypsum dose factor and KNO <sub>3</sub> concentration on the number of
blooms per tree (bud) on Keprok orange.

biobilis per tree (bud) on Replox biange.			
		$KNO_3 (K)$	
		$K_0 K_1 K_2 K_3$	
214,6 a	263,22 a	266,67 b	270,30 a <sup>2)</sup>
253,0 a	263,67 a	267,67 b	276,67 b
259,0 a	261,33 a	287,00 ba	295,00 a
35,62			
	214,6 a 253,0 a	214,6 a 263,22 a 253,0 a 263,67 a 259,0 a 261,33 a	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

#### Explanation

1).  $G_0 = 0$  gram gypsum dose per tree,  $G_1 = 350$  gram gypsum dose per tree,  $G_2 =$  gypsum dose 700 grams per tree,  $K_0 =$  concentration of 0 ml / 1 liter water per tree,  $K_1 =$  concentration of KNO<sub>3</sub> 1 ml / 1 Water level per tree,  $K_2 =$  concentration of KNO<sub>3</sub> 1,5ml / 1 liter of water per tree,  $K_3 =$  concentration of 2 ml KNO<sub>3</sub> / 1 liter of water per tree.

2). Numbers followed by the same letter in 1 variable the same shows no significant effect on the BNT 5% test Flowering Start Time (HSP), Period of Flowering Period (days), Amount Young Shoots per Tree (strands) and Number of Deciduous Flowers per tr. Table 3. The average time to start flowering per tree, the length of flowering period and the number of young shoots per tree, and the number of deciduous flowers per tree in the treatment of gypsum (G) and KNO3 (K) on flowering of Keprok orange.

Tı	reatment time to sta	rt Long flo	wering Num	ber of flower of	decidu
	Flowering (hsp)period (days) young hoot/tree ous flower/tree				
Gypsum (G)					
G <sub>0</sub>	293,17 a	113,17 a	157,17 c	71,95 b	
G <sub>1</sub>	266,17 b	92,83 a	174,67 b	66,06 ab	
G <sub>2</sub> 215,23c	73,50 a	188,83 a	57,27 a		
BNT 5 %	11,03	23,89	11,03	11.30	
KNO3 (K)					
K <sub>0</sub>	295,52 a	99,33 a		155,22 с	67,63 a
K <sub>1</sub>	262,78 a	80,78 a		172,78 b	66,49 a
K <sub>2</sub>	226,33 a	95,98 a		175,33 b	64,17 a
K <sub>3</sub> 218,00 a	90,33 a	189,67 a	61,49a		
BNT 5 %	12,74	27,58	12,74	12,34	

Explanation: The average value followed by the same letter in the same treatment and column means that it is not significantly different at the BNT test level of 5%.

Based on Table 3.3 in the treatment of  $KNO_3$  2 ml per l lt of water per tree shows the fastest time to start flowering per tree is 84.33 (HSP), significantly different from the  $KNO_3$  treatment 1.5 ml per l lt of water per tree (K\_1) with a value of 86.67 (HSP) and the treatment without  $KNO_3$  requires the longest time, that is: 88.44 (HSP). The 700 gram gypsum treatment per tree showed the fastest flowering time of 84.44 HSP was significantly different from the 350 gram gypsum treatment per tree, namely: 86.25 (HSP) and treatment without gypsum/control requires time the longest to start flowering is: 87.83 (HSP).

#### Number of flowers formed/tree (florets) Keprok citrus plants

## Table 4. Interaction between gypsum dose factor and KNO3 concentration on the number of<br/>flowers of formed/tree (florets) on keprok citrus plants.

Gypsum (G) <sup>1)</sup>	KNO <sub>3</sub> (K )		
Gypsuni (G)	$K_0 K_1 K_2 K_3$		
G <sub>0</sub> 123,00 a	134,30 b123,16	ab 151,32 a <sup>2)</sup>	
G <sub>1</sub> 145,33 b	153,33 ab156,33	a 173,00 b	
G <sub>2</sub> 176,00 c	163,00 a182,67	a 275,00 a	
BNT	35,62		

Explanation:

1).  $G_0 = 0$  gram gypsum dose per tree,  $G_1 = 350$  gram gypsum dose per tree,  $G_2 =$  gypsum dose 700 grams per tree,  $K_0 =$  concentration of KNO<sub>3</sub> 0 ml / 1 liter water per tree,  $K_1 =$  concentration of KNO<sub>3</sub> 2 ml / 1 Water level per tree,  $K_2 =$  concentration of KNO<sub>3</sub> 4 ml / 1 liter of water per tree,  $K_3 =$  concentration of 2 ml KNO<sub>3</sub> / 1 liter of water per tree.

2). Numbers followed by the same letter in 1 variable the same shows no significant effect on the BNT 5% tes.

The largest number of flowers formed per tree was obtained in the combination of gypsum dose level and KNO<sub>3</sub> ( $G_2K_3$ ) concentration level with a value of 275.00 buds / tree and significantly different from without KNO<sub>3</sub> 0 ml / lt per lowest tree obtained at a combination of gypsum dose and concentration of 0 ml liters per 1 liter of water per tree( $G_0K_0$ ) (control) (with a value of only 98.00 buds / tree. So looking at the condition is able to produce the amount of flowers blooms / trees are 55.27% higher when compared to ( $G_0K_0$ ) (Table 3.4).

The highest number of flowers formed per tree was obtained at a combination of 700 grams of gypsum dose per tree and  $KNO_3$  concentration of 2 ml per 1 lt of water per tree ( $G_2K_3$ ) with a value of 205.00 buds / tree and significantly different from the number of flowers formed per tree which was the lowest obtained in a combination of treatments without gypsum and without  $KNO_3$  (0 ml per 1 lt of water).

with a value of only 112.33 buds / tree (Table 3.4), so looking at these conditions  $(G_2K_3)$  is able to produce a higher number of flowers formed / trees 82.80% compared to the number of flowers formed per tree achieved by  $(G_0K_0)$ . At level G the number of flowers formed / tree tends to be significantly different between  $G_2$ ,  $G_1$  and  $G_0$  as well as at the level of KNO<sub>3</sub> administration, the levels  $K_3$ ,  $K_2$ ,  $K_1$  and  $K_0$  for the number of flowers formed / tree tends to be significantly different.

#### Relative water content of leaves and leaf chlorophyll content per tree

In the variable relative water content of leaves per tree and the largest leaf chlorophyll content per tree obtained in the treatment of gypsum dosage level ( $G_2$ ), that is 1.35% and 54.54 *SPAD* and the lowest without gypsum namely 0.09%, and ( $G_0$ )33.29 *SPAD* (Table 3.5). The 2 ml KNO3 treatment per 1 liter of water per tree ( $K_3$ ) showed the highest values in the leaf chlorophyll content and the relative water content of the leaves respectively 52.338 *SPAD* and 1.18% and the lowest without KNO3 giving (control) 50.38 *SPAD* and 0.09% (Table 3.5). The relative water content of leaves in the treatment of gypsum dosage levels ( $G_1$ ) was significantly different from ( $G_1$ ) and ( $G_0$ ) which were respectively 1.35%, 0.99% and 0.84%, while leaf chlorophyll content between level ( $G_2$ )and level ( $G_1$ ) is not significantly different, but vice versa with ( $G_0$ ) shows a significant difference.

gypsum (G) and KNO <sub>3</sub> (K) on the flowering citrus Keprok plant.					
	Relative water content of	With chlorophyll leaves			
Treatment	leaves (%)	(SPAD)			
Gypsum (G)					
G <sub>0</sub>	0,84 c	33,29 b			
G <sub>1</sub>	0,99 b	48,61 a			
G <sub>2</sub>	1,35 a	54,54 a			
BNT 5%	0,16	1,40			
KNO <sub>3</sub> (K)					
K <sub>0</sub>	0,90 b	50,28 b			
K <sub>1</sub>	0,99 b	52,248 b			
K <sub>2</sub>	1,15 a	52,32 a			
K <sub>3</sub>	1,18 a	52,38 a			
BNT 5%	1,40	1,70			

Table 5. Average relative content leaves (%) and the chlorophyll content of leaves (*SPAD*) in gypsum (G) and KNO<sub>3</sub> (K) on the flowering citrus Keprok plant.

Explanation: The average value followed by the same letter in the same treatment and column means that it is not significantly different at the BNT test level of 5%.

The relative water content of leaves in the treatment of 2 ml per 1 liter of water ( $K_3$ ) obtained a value of 1.18%, not significantly different from the KNO3 treatment 1.5 ml per 1 liter of water per tree ( $K_2$ ) with a value of 1.15%, and the treatment of KNO<sub>3</sub> 1 ml per 1 liter of water per tree ( $K_1$ ) with a value of 0.99% but significantly different between the administration of KNO<sub>3</sub> with a concentration of 2 ml per 1 liter of water per tree ( $K_3$ ) with no KNO<sub>3</sub> ( $K_0$ ) ie with a value of 0, 96% (Table 3.5). While the leaf chlorophyll content in the 2 ml KNO<sub>3</sub> treatment per 1 liter of water ( $K_3$ ) obtained the highest value, namely: 53.388 (*SPAD*) was not significantly different from the 1 ml KNO<sub>3</sub> treatment per 1 liter of ( $K_1$ ) water with a value of 52.38 (*SPAD*) and significantly different from treatment consecutive KNO<sub>3</sub> concentration 1.5 ml per 1 liter of water ( $K_2$ ) namely: equal to 52.32 (*SPAD*) and treatment without KNO<sub>3</sub> 0 ml per 1 liter of water ( $K_0$ ) namely: with a value of 50.38 (*SPAD*) (Table 3.5). The 2 ml KNO3 treatment per 1 liter of water per tree ( $K_3$ ) showed the highest values in the leaf chlorophyll content and the relative water content of the leaves respectively 52.338 *SPAD* and 1.18% and the lowest without KNO<sub>3</sub> (0 ml per 1 lit of water) ie 50.38 *SPAD* and 0.09% (Table 3.5). The relative water content of leaves in the gypsum dosage level ( $G_1$ ) was significantly different from ( $G_1$ ) and( $G_0$ ), which were respectively 1.35%, 0.99% and 0.84 %, while leaf chlorophyll content between level ( $G_2$ ) and level ( $G_1$ ) isnot significantly difference but vice versa with ( $G_0$ ) shows a real difference.

The relative water content of leaves in the treatment of 2 ml per 1 liter of water ( $K_3$ ) obtained a value of 1.18%, not significantly different from the KNO3 treatment 1.5 ml per 1 liter of water per tree ( $K_2$ ) with a value of 1.15%, and KNO<sub>3</sub> treatment 1 ml per 1 liter of water per tree ( $K_1$ ) with a value of 0.99% but very significantly different between KNO<sub>3</sub> with a concentration of 2 ml per 1 liter of water per tree  $K_3$  with no KNO<sub>3</sub> 0 ml per 1 ilert of water ( $K_0$ ) namely with a value of 0.96% (Table 3.4). While the chlorophyll content of leaves in the 2 ml KNO<sub>3</sub> treatment per 1 liter of water ( $K_3$ ) obtained the highest value, namely: 53.388 (*SPAD*) was not significantly different from the KNO<sub>3</sub> treatment 1 ml per 1 liter of water ( $K_1$ ) with a value of 52.38 (*SPAD*) and different

markedly with successive treatments a concentration of 1.5 ml per 1 liter of water ( $K_2$ ), namely: equal to 52.32 (*SPAD*) and treatment without KNO<sub>3</sub> 0 ml per 1 liter of water ( $K_0$ ), namely: with a value of 50.38 (*SPAD*) (Table 3.5). The data shows that the application of gypsum can improve the status of plant tissue water which is shown by the increased KAR of leaves; this can be caused by increased ability of plants to absorb fruit juice and or reduce transpiration. These conditions cause the plant process to increase. This is related to the opinion of Hanafiah (2007), gypsum on the soil can function as land reclamation which increases soil aggregate, soil percolation (Febra *et al*, 2015), and decreases soil pH. Gypsum can replace Na + ions in the soil with Ca2 +, which can lead to better absorption of potassium.

Gypsum giving 350 grams a month before being given KNO<sub>3</sub> treatment resulted in the number of flowers formed from the fastest flowering time, namely: 84.44 HSP, when compared to without giving gypsum, namely: 87.83 HSP. As it is known that the addition of gypsum to the soil has two main functions, namely raising the pH and increasing the availability of the elements for plant growth. With the presence of calcium ions (Ca +++) in gypsum can encourage fruit formation in plants. This relates to the opinion of Astiari *et al*, (2017). Nitrogen is an integral part of chlorophyll. Photosynthesis will take place quite high, so that growth is active and plants are dark green when nitrogen is fulfilled while nitrogen is also a key element in the structure of cell walls. Nitogen is an essential element of protein, hormones and enzymes and is an important part for fruit production (Liferdi, 2010).

#### CONCLUSION

1.The 700 gram gypsum treatment per tree showed the fastest flowering time of 215,23HSP was significantly different from the 350 gram gypsum treatment per tree, namely: 266,17 (HSP) and treatment without gypsum requires time the longest to start flowering is: 293,17 (HSP). the KNO<sub>3</sub> treatment 2 ml per l liter water per tree showed the fastest flowering time per tree at 218,00 (HSP), significantly different from the KNO<sub>3</sub> treatment 1.5 ml per l liter water per tree with a value 262,78 (HSP), significantly different from the KNO<sub>3</sub> treatment 1.5 ml per l liter water per tree with a value 226,63 (HSP and in the treatment without KNO<sub>3</sub> requires the longest time, namely: 295,52 (HSP).

2. The highest number of flowers formed per tree was obtained at a combination of 700 grams of gypsum treatment per tree and the concentration of KNO3 2 ml per 1 liter of water per tree with a value of 205.00 florets / tree and significantly different from the number of flowers formed per tree obtained at the combination treatment without gypsum and without KNO3 (0 ml per 1 liter of water) with a value of only 112.33 buds / tree so that seeing the condition is able to produce a higher number of flowers formed / trees 82.80%

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Acknowledgement Praise gratitude authors the presence of God all night, so that this simple writing can be solved can finish this paper thanks to some help from:

1. The Rector Warmadewa University for his permission to conduct research

2. The Dean Agriculture Faculty Warmadewa University, the volunteered to provide some facilities that the research could run.

3. Much obliged for LEMLIT Warmadewa University which has funded Decentralization Research Competitive Grant in 2018? Thanks also go to all those have helped this research

4. Thanks t the editor-in-c Thanks to the editor-in-chief of IJLS who has helped in the act of IJLS who has helped in the acceptance of my writing that is still far from perfect all the parties that I cannot mention one by, at the end of the word I say many thanks to all parties.

#### REPERENCES

- Ashraf, M.Y., M. Yaqub, J. Akhtar, M. A. Khan, M. Ali Khan and G. Ebert (2012). Control of Excessive Fruit Drop and Improvement in Yield and Juice Quality of *Citrus Nobilis* through Nutrient Management. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 44 (5): 259-265.
- Ashraf, M.Y., M. Ashraf, M. Akhtar, K. Mahmood and M. Saleem (2013). Improvement in Yield, Quality and Reduction in Fruit Drop in *Citrus Reticulata* Blanco by Exogenous Application of Plant Growth Regulators, Potassium and Zinc. *Pak. J. Bot.* 45(3): 433-440
- Astiari, N.K.A., N.P.A, Sulistiawati, L. and Kartini (2017). Upaya Peningkatan Kualitas Buah dan Memproduksi Buah Jeruk Siam di Luar Musim. 27P.
- Badan Pusat Statistik (2014). Data ekspor dan impor menurut komoditas. http//bps.go.id. Diakses pada 4 maret.
- Febra, N.W.E., Y.A Zaika. A.A.D Munawir, A. Rachmansyah (2015). Perbaikan Tanah dengan enabahkan Serbuk Gypsum dan Abu Sekam Padi Untuk Mengurangi Kerusakan Struktur Perkerasan. Program Studi Teknik Sipil, Fakultas Teknik, Univeritas Brawijaya. J. Rekayasa Sipil Vol 3. No3. Hal 251-256.
- Hanafiah, K. (2007). Dasar-dasar ilmu tanah Label: 631.4 HAN d Penerbit: Jakarta: Raja Grafindo Persada.

- Liferdi (2010). Staus Hara Ntrogen Sebagai Pedoman Rekomendasy Pupuk pada Bibit Manggis. J. Agrivita 1(3): 72-82
- Nishikawa, F., M. Iwasaki, H. Fukamachi, K. Nonaka, A. Imai, F. Takishita, T. Yano and T. Endo. (2012). Fruit bearing suppresses citrus FLOWERING LOCUS T expression in vegetative shoots of satsuma mandarin (Citrus unshiu Marc.). J. Japan. Soc. Hort. Sci. 81 (2): 48–53.
- Purnamasari, I.A. (2010). Analysis of citrus marketing in Bangli Regency [thesis].Surakarta (ID): Universitas Sebelas. <u>www.epository</u>. ipb. ac. id/jspui/bitstream/ 123456789/77632/1/A15mra.pdf Retrieved on March 4, 2017.
- Purnomo, S. and P.E.R. Prihardini (2013). Perangsang pembungaan dengan paklobutrazol dan pengaruhnya terhadap buah mangga (*mangifera indica* L.). *Penelitian Hort*. 27: 16-24.
- Rai, I.N., R. Poerwanro, L.K Darusman and B.S. Purwoko (2006). Chang of Gibberellin and Stages of Mangos teen. Hayati Sep.2005 hal 101-106 ISSN, 0854-8587. Vol 13 No 3.
- Rai, I.N., C.G.A, Semarajaya, dan I.W. Wiraatmaja (2010). Studi Fenofisiologi Salak Gula Pasir Sebagai Upaya Mengatasi Kegagalan Fruit-set dan Memproduksi Buah di Luar Musim. Jurnal Hortikultura vol 20, N0 3 (2010).ISSN.0853-7097 e-ISSN22502-5120.
- Reddy, Y.N. and Bagwan (2014). Induction of Flowering in Fruits Crop-Physiological and Plant Architectural Implications. The Society for Development of Subtropical Horticulture (SDSH) Central Intitute for Subtropical Horticulture (ICAR) Rhemankhera, Lucknow – 226 101, Uttar Pradesh. hal 24 – 47.
- Stuckens, J., Dzikiti, S., Verstraeten, W.W., Verreynne, S., Swennen, R. and Coppin, P. (2011). Physiological interpretation of a hyperspectral time series in citrus orchard. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*. 151:1002-1015.

epository.ipb.ac.id/ jspui/bitstream/123456789/77632/1/A15mra.pdf. Diakses pada 4 maret 2017.

Sulistiawati, N.P.A. (2019). Fisiologi Pembungaan dan Produksi Buah Jeruk Siam (*Citrus nobilis. Var mocrocarpa*.L) di Luar Musim. Disertasi 195 hal. Universitas Udayana-Bali. 2019.

Corresponding author: N.P.A Sulistiawati, Agrotechnology Program Study, Faculty of Agriculture, Warmadewa University, Bali-Indonesia Email: anomsulistia@gmail.com